

VI. The quark model: hadron quantum numbers, resonances

Characteristics of a hadron:

1) Mass

2) Quantum numbers arising from space symmetries : J, P, C . Common notation:

– J^P (e.g. for proton: $\frac{1}{2}^+$), or

– J^{PC} if a particle is also an eigenstate of C -parity (e.g. for π^0 : 0^{-+})

3) Internal quantum numbers: Q and B (always conserved), S, C, \tilde{B}, T (conserved in electromagnetic and strong interactions)

- ❖ How do we know what are quantum numbers of a newly discovered hadron?
- ❖ How do we know that mesons consist of a quark-antiquark pair, and baryons – of three quarks?

Some *a priori* knowledge is needed:

Particle	Mass (Gev/c ²)	Quark composition	Q	B	S	C	\tilde{B}
p	0.938	uud	1	1	0	0	0
n	0.940	udd	0	1	0	0	0
K ⁻	0.494	s \bar{u}	-1	0	-1	0	0
D ⁻	1.869	d \bar{c}	-1	0	0	-1	0
B ⁻	5.279	b \bar{u}	-1	0	0	0	-1

For the lightest 3 quarks (u, d, s), possible 3-quark and 2-quark states will be (q_{i,j,k} are u- or d- quarks):

	sss	ssq _i	sq _i q _j	q _i q _j q _k		$\bar{s}\bar{s}$	$\bar{s}q_i$	$\bar{s}q_i$	q _i \bar{q}_i	q _i \bar{q}_j
S	-3	-2	-1	0		0	-1	1	0	0
Q	-1	0; -1	1; 0; -1	2; 1; 0; -1		0	0; -1	1; 0	0	-1; 1

❖ Hence restrictions arise: for example, mesons with $S = -1$ and $Q = 1$ are *forbidden*

❖ Particles which fall out of above restrictions are called *exotic* particles (like $\bar{d}\bar{u}s$, $uu\bar{d}s$ etc.)

From observations of **strong interaction** processes, quantum numbers of many particles can be deduced:

$$\begin{array}{r}
 \text{p} + \text{p} \rightarrow \text{p} + \text{n} + \pi^+ \\
 \hline
 \text{Q} = \quad 2 \quad \quad 1 \quad 1 \\
 \text{S} = \quad 0 \quad \quad 0 \quad 0 \\
 \text{B} = \quad 2 \quad \quad 2 \quad 0
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r}
 \text{p} + \text{p} \rightarrow \text{p} + \text{p} + \pi^0 \\
 \hline
 \text{Q} = \quad 2 \quad \quad 2 \quad 0 \\
 \text{S} = \quad 0 \quad \quad 0 \quad 0 \\
 \text{B} = \quad 2 \quad \quad 2 \quad 0
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r}
 \text{p} + \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^0 + \text{n} \\
 \hline
 \text{Q} = \quad 1 \quad -1 \quad \quad 0 \\
 \text{S} = \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad \quad 0 \\
 \text{B} = \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad \quad 1
 \end{array}$$

Observations of pions confirm these predictions, ensuring that pions are non-exotic particles.

Assuming that K^- is a strange meson, one can predict quantum numbers of Λ -baryon:

$$\begin{array}{r}
 K^- + p \rightarrow \pi^0 + \Lambda \\
 \hline
 Q = \quad 0 \qquad 0 \quad 0 \\
 S = \quad -1 \qquad 0 \quad -1 \\
 B = \quad 1 \qquad 0 \quad 1
 \end{array}$$

And further, for K^+ -meson:

$$\begin{array}{r}
 \pi^- + p \rightarrow K^+ + \pi^- + \Lambda \\
 \hline
 Q = \quad 0 \qquad 1 \quad -1 \\
 S = \quad 0 \qquad 1 \quad -1 \\
 B = \quad 1 \qquad 0 \quad 1
 \end{array}$$

- ❖ All of the more than 200 hadrons of certain existence satisfy this kind of predictions
 - ☉ It so far confirms validity of the quark model, which suggests that only quark-antiquark and 3-quark (or 3-antiquark) states can exist

Pentaquark observation

- ❖ In 1997, a theoretical model predicted *pentaquark* possibility with mass 1.54 GeV
- ❖ Since 2003, LEPs/SPring-8 experiment in Japan reports observation of a particle with precisely this mass, and having structure consistent with pentaquark





Figure 95: Pentaquark production and observation at JLab

☉ Reported Θ^+ particle composition: $uudd\bar{s}$, $B = +1$, $S = +1$, spin = 1/2

LEPS/SPring-8 experimental setup:



- ☉ Laser beam was shot to a target made of liquid deuterium




Figure 96: New particle signal (the peak) published by LEPS in 2009

- ☉ A reference target of liquid hydrogen (only protons) showed no such signal
- ☉ Many experiments reported similar observations
- ❖ Still, many dedicated precision experiments show no signal
- ☉ Main problem: how to estimate the background. Search continues...

Even more quantum numbers...

It is convenient to introduce some more quantum numbers, which are conserved in strong and electromagnetic interactions:

- ☉ Sum of all internal quantum numbers, except of Q,

$$\text{hypercharge } Y \equiv B + S + C + \tilde{B} + T$$

- ☉ Instead of Q :

$$I_3 \equiv Q - Y/2$$

...which is to be treated as a projection of a new vector:

- ☉ *Isospin*

$$I \equiv (I_3)_{\max}$$

so that I_3 takes $2I+1$ values from $-I$ to I

- ❖ I_3 is a good quantum number to denote up- and down- quarks, and it is convenient to use notations for particles as: $I(\mathcal{J}^P)$ or $I(\mathcal{J}^{PC})$

	B	S	C	\tilde{B}	T	Y	Q	I₃
u	1/3	0	0	0	0	1/3	2/3	1/2
d	1/3	0	0	0	0	1/3	-1/3	-1/2
s	1/3	-1	0	0	0	-2/3	-1/3	0
c	1/3	0	1	0	0	4/3	2/3	0
b	1/3	0	0	-1	0	-2/3	-1/3	0
t	1/3	0	0	0	1	4/3	2/3	0

- ⊙ Hypercharge Y, isospin I and its projection I₃ are additive quantum numbers, thus quantum numbers for hadrons can be deduced from those of quarks:

$$Y^{a+b} = Y^a + Y^b ; I_3^{a+b} = I_3^a + I_3^b$$

$$I^{a+b} = I^a + I^b, I^a + I^b - 1, \dots, |I^a - I^b|$$

- ⊙ Proton and neutron both have isospin of 1/2, and also very close masses:

$$p(938) = uud ; n(940) = udd : I(J)^P = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^+$$

proton and neutron are said to belong to *isospin doublet*

Other examples of *isospin multiplets*:

$$K^+(494) = u\bar{s} ; K^0(498) = d\bar{s} : I(J)^P = \frac{1}{2}(0)^-$$

$$\pi^+(140) = u\bar{d} ; \pi^-(140) = d\bar{u} : I(J)^P = 1(0)^- \text{ and}$$

$$\pi^0(135) = (u\bar{u}-d\bar{d})/\sqrt{2} : I(J)^{PC} = 1(0)^- +$$

❖ Principle of *isospin symmetry*: it is a good approximation to treat u- and d-quarks as having same masses

Particles with I=0 are called *isosinglets* :


$$\Lambda(1116) = uds, I(J)^P = 0\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^+$$

🕒 By introducing isospin, we get more criteria for non-exotic particles:



	sss	ssq _i	sq _i q _j	q _i q _j q _k		$\bar{s}\bar{s}$	$\bar{s}q_i$	$\bar{s}q_j$	q _i \bar{q}_i	q _i \bar{q}_j
S	-3	-2	-1	0		0	-1	1	0	0
Q	-1	0; -1	1; 0; -1	2; 1; 0; -1		0	0; -1	1; 0	0	-1; 1
I	0	1/2	0; 1	3/2; 1/2		0	1/2	1/2	0; 1	0; 1

In all observed interactions (save pentaquarks) isospin-related criteria are satisfied as well, confirming once again the quark model.

❖ This allows predictions of possible multiplet members: suppose we observe production of the Σ^+ baryon in a strong interaction:



which then decays weakly :




It follows that Σ^+ baryon quantum numbers are: $B = 1$, $Q = 1$, $S = -1$ and hence $Y = 0$ and $I_3 = 1$.

❖ Since $I_3 > 0 \Rightarrow I \neq 0$ and there are more multiplet members!

☉ When a baryon has $I_3=1$, the only possibility for isospin is $I=1$, and we have a triplet:

$$S^+, S^0, S^-$$

Indeed, all such particles have been observed:



Masses and quark composition of Σ -baryons are:

$$\Sigma^+(1189) = uus ; \Sigma^0(1193) = uds ; \Sigma^-(1197) = dds$$

It indicates that d-quark is heavier than u-quark, under following assumptions:

- (a) strong interactions between quarks do not depend on their flavour and give contribution of M_0 to the baryon mass
- (b) electromagnetic interactions contribute as $\delta \sum e_i e_j$, where e_i are quark charges and δ is a constant

The simplest attempt to calculate mass difference of up- and down-quarks:

$$M(\Sigma^-) = M_0 + m_s + 2m_d + \delta/3$$

$$M(\Sigma^0) = M_0 + m_s + m_d + m_u - \delta/3$$

$$M(\Sigma^+) = M_0 + m_s + 2m_u$$

⇓

$$m_d - m_u = [M(\Sigma^-) + M(\Sigma^0) - 2M(\Sigma^+)] / 3 = 3.7 \text{ MeV}/c^2$$

❖ NB : this is a very simplified model, as under these assumptions $M(\Sigma^0) = M(\Lambda)$, while their mass difference $M(\Sigma^0) - M(\Lambda) \approx 77 \text{ MeV}/c^2$.

Generally, combining other methods:

$$2 \leq m_d - m_u \leq 4 \text{ (MeV}/c^2 \text{)}$$

which is negligible comparing to hadron masses (but not if compared to estimated u and d masses themselves)

Resonances

- ❖ *Resonances* are highly unstable particles that decay by strong interaction (lifetimes about 10^{-23} s)





Figure 97: Example of a $q\bar{q}$ system in ground and first excited states

- ❖ If a ground state is a member of an isospin multiplet, then resonant states will form a corresponding multiplet too

Since resonances have very short lifetimes, they can only be detected by registering their *decay products*:



❖ Invariant mass of a particle is measured via energies and masses of its decay products (see 4-vectors in Chapter I.):

$$W^2 \equiv (E_A + E_B)^2 - (\vec{p}_A + \vec{p}_B)^2 = E^2 - \vec{p}^2 = M^2 \quad (106)$$




Figure 98: A typical resonance peak in K^+K^- invariant mass distribution

❖ Resonance peak shapes are approximated by the *Breit-Wigner* formula:

$$N(W) = \frac{K}{(W - W_0)^2 + \Gamma^2 / 4} \quad (107)$$





Figure 99: Breit-Wigner shape

- ☉ Mean value of the Breit-Wigner shape is the mass of a resonance: $M=W_0$
- ☉ Γ is the width of a resonance, and it has the meaning of inverse mean lifetime of particle at rest: $\Gamma \equiv 1/\tau$


Internal quantum numbers of resonances are also derived from their decay products:



for such X^0 : $B = 0$; $S = C = \tilde{B} = T = 0$; $Q = 0 \Rightarrow Y=0$ and $I_3=0$.

⦿ When $I_3=0$, to determine whether $I=0$ or $I=1$, searches for isospin multiplet partners have to be done.

Example: $\rho^0(769)$ and $\rho^0(1700)$ both decay to $\pi^+\pi^-$ pair and have isospin partners ρ^+ and ρ^- :



By measuring angular distribution of $\pi^+\pi^-$ pair, the relative orbital angular momentum of the pair L can be determined, and hence spin and parity of the resonance X^0 are ($S=0$):

$$J = L; P = P_\pi^2(-1)^L = (-1)^L; C = (-1)^L$$

◎ Some excited states of pion:

resonance	$I(J^{PC})$
$\rho^0(769)$	$1(1^{--})$
$f_2^0(1275)$	$0(2^{++})$
$\rho^0(1700)$	$1(3^{--})$

◎ $B=0$: *meson resonances*, $B=1$: *baryon resonances*.

Many baryon resonances can be produced in pion-nucleon scattering:




Figure 100: Formation of a resonance R and its decay into a nucleon N

◎ Peaks in the observed total cross-section of the $\pi^\pm p$ -reaction correspond to resonance formation




Figure 101: Scattering of π^+ and π^- on proton

All the resonances produced in pion-nucleon scattering have the same internal quantum numbers as the initial state:

$$B = 1; S = C = \tilde{B} = T = 0$$



and thus $Y=1$ and $Q=I_3+1/2$

Possible isospins are $I=1/2$ or $I=3/2$, since for pion $I=1$ and for nucleon $I=1/2$

⊙ $I=1/2 \Rightarrow N$ -resonances (N^0, N^+)

⊙ $I=3/2 \Rightarrow \Delta$ -resonances ($\Delta^-, \Delta^0, \Delta^+, \Delta^{++}$)

Figure 101: peaks at $\approx 1.2 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ correspond to Δ^{++} and Δ^0 resonances:



- ❖ Fits by the Breit-Wigner formula show that both Δ^{++} and Δ^0 have approximately same mass of $\approx 1232 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ and width $\approx 120 \text{ MeV}/c^2$.
 - ☉ Studies of angular distributions of decay products show that $I(J^P) = \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)^+$
 - ☉ Remaining members of the multiplet are also observed: Δ^+ and Δ^-
- ❖ There is no lighter state with these quantum numbers $\Rightarrow \Delta$ is a *ground state*, although observed as a resonance.

Quark diagrams

- ❖ Quark diagrams are convenient way of illustrating strong interaction processes

Consider an example:

$$\Delta^{++} \rightarrow p + \pi^+$$

- ☉ The only 3-quark state consistent with Δ^{++} quantum numbers (Q=2) is (uuu), while $p=(uud)$ and $\pi^+=(u\bar{d})$




Figure 102: Quark diagram of the reaction $\Delta^{++} \rightarrow p + \pi^+$

Analogously to Feinman diagrams:

- ⊙ arrow pointing rightwards denotes a particle, and leftwards – antiparticle
- ⊙ time flows from left to right

Allowed resonance formation process:




Figure 103: Formation and decay of Δ^{++} resonance in π^+p elastic scattering

Hypothetical exotic resonance:




Figure 104: Exotic resonance Z^{++} in K^+p elastic scattering

☉ Quantum numbers of such a particle Z^{++} are exotic. There are no resonance peaks in the corresponding cross-section, but data are scarce:




Figure 105: Cross-section for K^+p scattering

Pentaquark Vital Signs




Figure 106: Pentaquark searches status as of October 2005, by Paul Stoler