

VIII. Weak Interactions: W and Z bosons

- ❖ Like in QED and QCD, the weak force carriers are *spin-1 bosons*; they couple to *quarks and leptons*
 - ⊙ Weak interactions are carried out by three *intermediate vector bosons*: W^+ and W^- (mass 80.4 GeV), and Z^0 (91.2 GeV)
 - ⊙ Since these bosons are **very massive** particles, weak interactions have very short range (order of 2×10^{-3} fm)
 - ⊙ Historically, all observed weak processes were *charged current* reactions mediated by W^+ or W^- bosons (like β -decay). Electroweak theory predicted existence of *neutral current* reactions caused by the Z^0 boson




Figure 80: Predicted neutral current reaction: no muon in the final state




Figure 81: One of the first neutral current reactions as seen by the Gargamelle bubble chamber in 1973

Discovery of the W and Z bosons

❖ First dedicated study of vector bosons: detectors UA1 and UA2 at the proton-antiproton collider SPS (Super Proton Synchrotron) at CERN (started in 1981)

🕒 Search for leptonic decays of the W and Z bosons produced in $p\bar{p}$ collisions:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{p} + p &\rightarrow W^+ + X \\ &\quad \searrow \\ &\quad \rightarrow l^+ + \nu_l \end{aligned} \quad (132)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{p} + p &\rightarrow W^- + X \\ &\quad \searrow \\ &\quad \rightarrow l^- + \bar{\nu}_l \end{aligned} \quad (133)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{p} + p &\rightarrow Z^0 + X \\ &\quad \searrow \\ &\quad \rightarrow l^+ + l^- \end{aligned} \quad (134)$$

🕒 W and Z can decay into quarks as well, but in hadron collisions this can not be identified




Figure 82: The mechanism of W^\pm and Z production in $p\bar{p}$ annihilation

From the quark point of view, processes (132)-(134) are quark-antiquark annihilations:

$$u + \bar{d} \rightarrow W^+ , \quad d + \bar{u} \rightarrow W^- \quad (135)$$

$$u + \bar{u} \rightarrow Z^0 , \quad d + \bar{d} \rightarrow Z^0 \quad (136)$$

To obtain sufficient centre-of-mass energies for quark-antiquark collisions (~ 90 GeV), proton and antiproton beams at SPS had an energy of 270 GeV each.

W bosons




Figure 83: A W boson observed by UA1 detector in 1982; a high transverse momentum electron is indicated by the arrow

🎯 Signature of a W boson:

- a lepton with large momentum ($p(l) > 10 \text{ GeV}/c$) is emitted at a wide angle to the beam ($\theta > 5^\circ$)
- large “*missing transverse momentum*” ($p_T = p \sin \theta$) is carried away by a neutrino

- ⊙ Neutrinos can not be detected, but we know that in a symmetric collision, sum of all the momenta must be 0. Events with large missing momentum (>15 GeV in UA1) indicate presence of energetic neutrinos

If $p_T(W)=0 \Rightarrow \cancel{p}_T = p_T(l)$: the missing transverse momentum is equal to the transverse momentum of the detected lepton

From 43 events observed by UA1, the mass of W^+ and W^- was defined as

$$M_W = 80.33 \pm 0.15 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \quad (137)$$

and the decay width as

$$\Gamma_W = 2.07 \pm 0.06 \text{ GeV} \quad (138)$$

which corresponds to the lifetime of 3.2×10^{-25} s

- ⊙ Branching ratios of leptonic decay modes of W^\pm are about 11% for each lepton generation




Figure 84: Recent result from the D0 experiment at the Tevatron (also proton-antiproton collisions); fit gives $M_W = 80.48 \pm 0.09$ GeV

W bosons can be pair-produced in e^+e^- annihilation, and the up-to-date world average for the W mass is

$$M_W = 80.40 \pm 0.03 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \quad (139)$$

Z^0 boson

- ❖ Signature of a Z^0 boson in $p\bar{p}$ collision: pair of leptons (e^+e^-) with very large momenta.
- ❖ Mass of the Z^0 then equals to the invariant mass of leptons




Figure 85: A Z^0 production event in the UA1 detector.

Knowing M_W , the mass of Z^0 was predicted to be $M_Z \approx 90 \text{ GeV}/c^2$

☉ From the first 18 electron and 10 muon events measured by UA1:

$$M_Z = 93.0 \pm 1.4 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \tag{140}$$




Figure 86: Dilepton mass spectra near the Z^0 peak at Tevatron

More precise methods and new data from e^+e^- collisions at LEP give

$$M_Z = 91.188 \pm 0.002 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \quad \Gamma_Z = 2.495 \pm 0.002 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \tag{141}$$


which corresponds to the lifetime of $2.6 \times 10^{-25} \text{ s}$.

☉ Branching ratios of leptonic decay modes of Z^0 are around 3.4% for each lepton generation


Charged current reactions

❖ *Charged current* reactions are weak interactions mediated by the charged W bosons:


1) purely *leptonic* processes: $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- + \bar{\nu}_e + \nu_\mu$



2) purely hadronic processes: $\Lambda \rightarrow \pi^- + p$



3) *semileptonic* reactions: $n \rightarrow p + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$



❖ **Reminder:** all the electromagnetic interactions can be built from eight basic interactions:




Figure 87: The basic vertex for electron-photon interactions

❖ In a similar way, leptonic weak interaction processes can be built from a certain number of reactions corresponding to basic vertices:




Figure 88: The two basic vertices for W^\pm -lepton interactions

❖ Weak interactions always conserve lepton quantum numbers

Diagram-wise this conservation is guaranteed by:

- at each vertex, there is one arrow pointing in and one pointing out
- lepton indices “ l ” are **the same** on both **lines**




Figure 89: Eight basic weak current reactions




Figure 90: Vertices **violating** lepton number conservation (**forbidden**)

- ⊙ Processes of Figure 89 are virtual, so that two or more have to be combined to conserve energy
- ⊙ However, processes like 89(e) and 89(f) do not violate energy conservation if

$$M_W > M_l + M_{\nu_l} \quad (l = e, \mu, \tau)$$

- ⊙ In particular, reactions (132) and (133), used to detect the W bosons, are dominated by mechanisms like of Fig.89(e) and 89(f).

❖ Leptonic vertices are characterized by the corresponding strength parameter α_W **independently** on lepton type involved

Knowing the decay rate of $W \rightarrow e\nu$, one can estimate α_W to the first order:

⊙ Since the process involves only one vertex and lepton masses are negligible \Rightarrow

$$\Gamma(W \rightarrow e\nu) \approx \alpha_W M_W \approx 80 \alpha_W \text{ GeV} \quad (142)$$

⊙ Measured decay rate:

$$\Gamma(W \rightarrow e\nu) \approx 0.2 \text{ GeV} \quad (143)$$

which gives

$$\alpha_W \approx 1/400 = O(\alpha_{em}) \quad (144)$$

hence the “strength” of the weak interaction is comparable with the electromagnetic one

❖ Weak interaction is still much weaker at low energies $E \ll M_W$

Analogues of electron-electron scattering by photon exchange:

$$\nu_{\mu} + e^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{-} + \nu_e \tag{145}$$




Figure 91: Time-ordered diagrams for inverse muon decay (145)

❖ Time ordering implies changing the sign of the current!

☉ A conventional muon decay is depicted involving W^{-} :




Figure 92: Dominant diagram for muon decay

Including higher order diagrams, inverse muon decay (145) can look like:




Figure 93: Some higher order contributions to inverse muon decay

☉ A diagram like Fig.93 gives a **negligible** contribution of order $\alpha \frac{6}{W}$ to the total cross section, analogously to the case of electromagnetic photon exchange

Since W bosons are very heavy, at $E \ll M_W$ interactions like (145) can be approximated by a **zero-range** interaction:




Figure 94: Low-energy zero-range interaction in muon decay

- ❖ Taking into account spin effects, the relation between α_W and G_F in zero-range approximation is:

$$\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{g_W^2}{M_W^2} = \frac{4\pi\alpha_W}{M_W^2} \quad (146)$$

where g_W is the coupling constant in W-vertices, $\alpha_W \equiv g_W^2/4\pi$ by definition.

This gives the estimate of $\alpha_W = 4.2 \times 10^{-3} = 0.58\alpha_{em}$, which is perfectly compatible with estimate (144)

- ☉ α_W is indeed slightly smaller than α_{em} in the low energy approximation

- ❖ Weak interaction rates are only small at low energies, because the very large M_W enters (146) as the inverse square

- ❖ Weak interactions of hadrons: constituent quarks emit or absorb W bosons




Figure 95: Neutron β -decay

- ❖ *Lepton-quark symmetry*: corresponding generations of quarks and leptons have identical weak interactions:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ e^- \end{pmatrix} \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \nu_\mu \\ \mu^- \end{pmatrix} \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} c \\ s \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

The coupling constants do not change upon exchange of quarks/leptons:

$$g_{ud} = g_{cs} = g_W \tag{147}$$




Figure 96: W-quark vertices assumed by lepton-quark symmetry

An example of an allowed reaction:

$$\pi^- \rightarrow \mu^- + \bar{\nu}_\mu \quad (d\bar{u} \rightarrow \mu^- + \bar{\nu}_\mu) \tag{148}$$

❖ However, some observed reactions are not consistent with the lepton-quark symmetry:

$$K^- \rightarrow \mu^- + \bar{\nu}_\mu \quad (s\bar{u} \rightarrow \mu^- + \bar{\nu}_\mu) \tag{149}$$

(branching ratio of this process is 0.63 - quite a common decay)




Figure 97: Dominant quark diagrams for Λ decay

To solve the contradiction, the “*quark mixing*” hypothesis was introduced by Cabibbo:

❖ d- and s-quarks participate the weak interactions via the linear combinations:

$$\begin{aligned} d' &= d \cos \theta_C + s \sin \theta_C \\ s' &= -d \sin \theta_C + s \cos \theta_C \end{aligned} \tag{150}$$

Parameter θ_C is called *Cabibbo angle*

❖ Quark-lepton symmetry applies to doublets like

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ d' \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} c \\ s' \end{pmatrix}$$




Figure 98: Interpretation of quark mixing

Quark mixing hypothesis allows some more W-quark vertices:

$$g_{ud} = g_{cs} = g_W \cos \theta_C \tag{151}$$




Figure 99: Additional W-quark vertices assumed by lepton-quark symmetry with quark mixing

$$g_{us} = -g_{cd} = g_W \sin \theta_C \quad (152)$$

Cabibbo angle is measured experimentally, for example, comparing decay rates:

$$\frac{\Gamma(K^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu)}{\Gamma(\pi^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu)} \propto \frac{g_{us}^2}{g_{ud}^2} = \tan^2 \theta_C$$

which corresponds to

$$\theta_C = 12.7^\circ \pm 0.1^\circ \quad (153)$$

Charmed quark couplings g_{cd} and g_{cs} are measured in neutrino scattering experiments and give

$$\theta_C = 12^\circ \pm 1^\circ$$

It can be seen that decays involving couplings (152) are *Cabibbo-suppressed*: their rates are reduced by an order

$$\frac{g_{us}^2}{g_{ud}^2} = \frac{g_{cd}^2}{g_{cs}^2} = \tan^2 \theta_C = \frac{1}{20}$$

On the other hand, decays like $c \rightarrow sl^+ \nu_l$ and $c \rightarrow s\bar{d}$ are *Cabibbo-allowed*, hence:

❖ charmed particles almost always decay into strange ones.

The third generation

- ❖ Existence of c-quark was first predicted from the lepton-quark symmetry
- ❖ After discovery of τ , ν_τ , and b, the sixth quark has been predicted to complete the symmetry: the top-quark was confirmed with the mass of $171 \text{ GeV}/c^2$
- 🎯 Announced in March 1995 by the two dedicated independent experiments at the Tevatron: CDF and D0; the last particle discovery to the date (save the pentaquarks)

For two generations, form (150) is conveniently written in a matrix form as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} d' \\ s' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_C & \sin\theta_C \\ -\sin\theta_C & \cos\theta_C \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \end{pmatrix} \quad (154)$$

Adding the third generation, mixing between **all** of them must be allowed:

$$\begin{pmatrix} d' \\ s' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix} \quad (155)$$

❖ The 3x3 matrix of (155) is the so-called
CKM matrix $V_{\alpha\beta}$ (*Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa*)

Coupling constants are then:

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = g_W V_{\alpha\beta} \quad (\alpha = u, c, t; \beta = d, s, b) \quad (156)$$

The two-generation mixing model agrees well with the experimental data, hence V_{ub} , V_{cb} , V_{td} and V_{ts} ought to be very small.

In the limit that mixing between the b quark and (d,s) ones can be neglected, the CKM matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_C & \sin\theta_C & 0 \\ -\sin\theta_C & \cos\theta_C & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{157}$$

and hence $b'=b$




Figure 100: Dominant decays of b-quark

Decay modes of Fig.100 have rates proportional to squared couplings:

$$|g_{ub}|^2 = |V_{ub}|^2 g_W^2 \text{ or } |g_{cb}|^2 = |V_{cb}|^2 g_W^2 \quad (158)$$

If V_{ub} and V_{cb} are indeed 0, b-quark should be stable. In reality, it decays, with the rather long lifetime of

$$\tau_b \approx 10^{-12} s \quad (159)$$

If otherwise $g_{ub}=g_{cb}=g_W$, lifetime has to be shorter, like in the case of τ decays (Fig.101).




Figure 101: Dominant decays of τ lepton

Knowing the lifetime of τ lepton $\tau_\tau \approx 3 \times 10^{-13}$ s, and assuming there is no suppression of b decay ($V_{ub} = V_{cb} = 1$), the lifetime of b-quark should be:

$$\tau_b \approx \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{m_\tau}{m_b} \right)^5 \approx 10^{-15} \text{ s}$$

where N is number of possible b-quark decays per analogous τ -decays (3 for the leptonic mode and 4 - for semileptonic)

This contradicts experimental results (b quark lives much longer); more precise recent measurements yield

$$|V_{ub}| = (4.31 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-3} \quad \text{and} \quad |V_{cb}| = (41.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3} \quad (160)$$

which is still small enough for practical purposes.

- ❖ The top-quark is much heavier than even W bosons and can produce them by a decay like:




Figure 102: Decay $t \rightarrow W^+ + q$

- ❖ As can be seen from CKM matrix (V_{td} and V_{ts} are ~ 0), the only significant decay mode of t-quark is

$$t \rightarrow W^+ + b \quad (161)$$

with a rate proportional to

$$\alpha_W = g_W^2 / 4\pi \approx 4.2 \times 10^{-3}$$

Estimate of decay width $\Gamma \sim \alpha_W m_t \sim 1 \text{ GeV}$ suggests very short lifetime:

$$\tau_t \approx 4 \times 10^{-25} \text{ s}$$

❖ Top-quarks do not form hadrons because of the too short lifetime




Figure 103: Decays of top-quark

Boson factories in pictures




Figure 104: UA1 detector layout (proton-antiproton collisions); solid angle is fully covered down to 0.2°




Figure 105: Correlation between the electron and neutrino transverse energies in W measurements by UA1.





Figure 106: W and Z masses as measured by UA1 (W) and UA1 and UA2 (Z) experiments




Figure 107: W pair production modes in electron-positron annihilation




Fig. 1 - The ALEPH Detector






Figure 108: The four LEP experiments (data taking 1989-2000):ALEPH, DELPHI, L3 and OPAL




Figure 109: A 4-jet WW event as registered by the DELPHI detector at LEP





Figure 110: W mass reconstruction by OPAL experiment at LEP. The $qq_l\nu$ channel is the *golden* channel: best measurement







Figure 111: Decays of the Z into e^+e^- , $\tau^+\tau^-$ and $q\bar{q}$ and precision scan for the Z mass, by DELPHI experiment at LEP