V. (X) Charge conjugation and parity

Parity and charge conjugation transformations are defined as (see Chapter IV.):

♦ Parity transformation is the transformation by reflection, reversing the coordinate \vec{r} and momentum \vec{p} : $\overset{>}{x_i} \rightarrow \overset{>}{x'_i} = -\overset{>}{x_i}$

(a) Parity transformation does not change $\vec{L} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p}$ or spin

- Charge conjugation (C-parity) replaces particles with their antiparticles, reversing charges and magnetic moments
- While conserved in strong and electromagnetic interactions, parity and C-parity are violated in weak processes

In 1956, T.D.Lee & C.N.Yang suggested non-conservation of parity in weak processes; can be shown by studying e.g. kaon decays (known then as the *"theta-tau puzzle"*)

Some known decays of K⁺ are:

$$K^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{0} + \pi^{+}$$
 and $K^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} + \pi^{+} + \pi^{-}$

Intrinsic parity of a pion P_{π} =-1, and for the $\pi^{0}\pi^{+}$ and $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{-}$ states parities are

$$P_{0+} = P_{\pi}^{2}(-1)^{L} = 1, \qquad P_{++-} = P_{\pi}^{3}(-1)^{L_{12}+L_{3}} = -1$$

where L=0 since kaon has spin-0.

One of the K⁺ decays violates parity!

- 1957: Madame Wu carried out dedicated studies of parity violation in β-decay
- ⁶⁰Co β -decay into ⁶⁰Ni^{*} was studied
- -⁶⁰Co was cooled to 0.01 K to prevent thermal disorder
- Sample was placed in a magnetic field \Rightarrow nuclear spins were aligned along the field direction




Figure 73: Possible β -decays of ⁶⁰Co: case (a) is preferred.

- If parity is conserved, processes (a) and (b) must have equal rates

[©] Electrons were emitted predominantly in the direction opposite the ⁶⁰Co spin




Figure 74: Artistic representation of parity not being conserved. In the mirror, the direction of spin is reversed, while the direction in which most beta rays are emitted remains unchanged. Parity transformation would turn the mirror upside down, to return the spin to the original direction. But then the electrons will be emitted upwards, which is not the case: parity is not conserved.

Another case of parity and C-parity violation was observed in muon decays:

$$\mu^{-} \rightarrow e^{-} + \overline{\nu}_{e} + \nu_{\mu}$$

$$\mu^{+} \rightarrow e^{+} + \nu_{e} + \overline{\nu}_{\mu}$$
(79)
(80)




Figure 75: Effect of a parity transformation on the muon decays (79) and (80)

Angular distribution of $e^{-}(e^{+})$ emitted in $\mu^{-}(\mu^{+})$ decay has a form of:

$$\Gamma_{\mu^{\pm}}(\cos\theta) = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{\pm}\left(1 - \frac{\xi_{\pm}}{3}\cos\theta\right)$$

- here ξ_{\pm} are constants – "asymmetry parameters", and Γ_{\pm} are total decay rates (thus inverse lifetimes)

$$\Gamma_{\pm} = \int_{-1}^{1} \Gamma_{\pm} (\cos \theta) d\cos \theta \equiv \frac{1}{\tau_{\pm}}$$
(81)

If the process is invariant under charge conjugation (C-invariance) \Rightarrow

$$\Gamma_{+} = \Gamma_{-} \qquad \xi_{+} = \xi_{-} \tag{82}$$

(rates and angular distributions are the same for e^{-} and e^{+})

If the process is P-invariant, then angular distributions in forward and backward directions are the same:

$$\Gamma_{\mu^{\pm}}(\cos\theta) = \Gamma_{\mu^{\pm}}(-\cos\theta) \qquad \xi_{+} = \xi_{-} = 0 \tag{83}$$

Experimental results:

$$\Gamma_{+} = \Gamma_{-} \qquad \xi_{+} = -\xi_{-} = 1.00 \pm 0.04$$
 (84)

Both C- and P-invariance are violated, on daily basis!






Figure 76: P-, C- and CP-transformation of an electron

It appears that electrons prefer to be emitted with momentum opposite to their spin (left-handed) Corresponding observable: *helicity* – projection of particle's spin to its direction of motion

$$\Lambda = \frac{\overrightarrow{Jp}}{|\overrightarrow{p}|} = \frac{\overrightarrow{sp}}{|\overrightarrow{p}|}$$
(87)

Eigenvalues of helicity are h=-s, -s+1, ..., +s, \Rightarrow for a spin-1/2 electron it can be either -1/2 or 1/2



Figure 77: Helicity states of spin-1/2 particle

Helicity of neutrino

1958: Goldhaber et al. measured helicity of neutrino using the reaction of orbital electron capture in Eu:

In the reaction (88), 152 Sm^{*} and v_e recoil in opposite directions



Figure 78: Spin of ¹⁵²Sm^{*} has to be opposite to the neutrino spin (parallel to the electron spin)

In the initial state, electron has spin-1/2, ¹⁵²Eu – spin-0, in final state: ¹⁵²Sm^{*} has spin-1 and v_e – spin-1/2 \Rightarrow spin of ¹⁵²Sm^{*} is parallel to the electron spin and opposite to the neutrino spin.




Figure 79: Forward-emitted γ has the same helicity as v_e

Events with γ emitted in the direction of motion of ¹⁵²Sm^{*} were selected.

- Polarization of photons was determined by studying their absorption in magnetized iron.
- It turned out that neutrinos can be only left-handed!
- Antineutrinos were found to be always right-handed.

V-A interaction

- V-A interaction theory was introduced by Fermi as an analytic description of spin dependence of charged current interactions.
 - It denotes "polar Vector Axial vector" interaction
- *Polar vector* is any which direction is reversed by parity transformation: momentum \vec{p}
- *Axial vector* is that which direction is not changed by parity transformation: spin \vec{s} or orbital angular momentum $\vec{L} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p}$
 - Weak current has both vector and axial components, hence parity is not conserved in weak interactions
- ♦ Main conclusion: if velocity $v \approx c$, only left-handed fermions v_L , e_L^- etc. are emitted, and right-handed antifermions.
- The very existence of preferred states violates both C- and Pinvariance

- Neutrinos (antineutrinos) are always relativistic and hence always are left(right)-handed
- For other (massive) fermions, preferred states are left-handed, and right-handed states are not completely forbidden, but suppressed by factors

$$\left(1 - \frac{v}{c}\right) \approx \frac{m^2}{2E^2} \tag{90}$$

Consider pion decay modes (pion at rest):

$$\pi^+ \rightarrow l^+ + \nu_l$$
 (l=e, μ) (91)




Figure 80: Helicities of leptons emitted in a pion decay

 $-\pi^+$ has spin-0, \Rightarrow spins of charged lepton and neutrino must be opposite

- Neutrino is always left-handed \Rightarrow charged lepton has to be left-handed as well. BUT:
 - e^+ and μ^+ as antileptons ought to be right-handed!

- It follows that a <u>relativistic</u> charged lepton can not be emitted at all in a pion decay!
 - \bigcirc Muons are rather heavy \Rightarrow non-relativistic \Rightarrow can be left-handed (see Eq.(90))
 - Observe the second s
- Measured ratio:

$$\frac{\Gamma(\pi^+ \to e^+ \nu_e)}{\Gamma(\pi^+ \to \mu^+ \nu_{\mu})} = (1.230 \pm 0.004) \times 10^{-4}$$
(92)

Muons emitted in pion decays are always polarized (μ⁺ are left-handed)

This can be used to measure muon decay (79), (80) symmetries by detecting highest-energy (<u>relativistic</u>) electrons with energy

$$E = \frac{m_{\mu}}{2} \left(1 + \frac{m_e^2}{m_{\mu}^2} \right) \gg m_e \tag{93}$$

Highest-energy electrons are emitted in decays when both v_{μ} and \overline{v}_{e} are emitted in the direction opposite to e⁻:




Figure 81: Muon decays at rest with highest-energy electron emission

^(◎) Electron must have spin parallel to the muon spin \Rightarrow configuration (a) is strongly preferred \Rightarrow observed experimentally forward-backward asymmetry (84)

Neutral kaons

CP symmetry apparently can be violated in weak interactions

Neutral kaons K⁰(498)=(ds) and K⁰(498)=(sd) can be converted into each other because they have same quantum numbers




Figure 82: Example of a process converting K^0 to \overline{K}^0 .

- ♦ Phenomenon of $K^0 \overline{K}^0$ mixing : observed physical particles are linear combinations of K^0 and \overline{K}^0 , since there is no conserved quantum number to distinguish them
 - ◎ The same is true for neutral B-mesons: $B^0 = d\overline{b}$, $\overline{B}^0 = b\overline{d}$, $B_s = s\overline{b}$ and $\overline{B}_s = b\overline{s}$, and for neutral D-mesons $D^0 = cu$ and $\overline{D}^0 = uc$.

C-transformation changes a quark into antiquark \Rightarrow

$$C|K^{0}, \vec{p}\rangle = -|\vec{K}^{0}, \vec{p}\rangle \quad and \quad C|\vec{K}^{0}, \vec{p}\rangle = -|K^{0}, \vec{p}\rangle$$
 (94)

- Here signs are chosen for further convenience and do not affect physical predictions

Intrinsic parity of a kaon is $P_{K}=-1 \Rightarrow$ for $\overset{>}{p} = (0, 0, 0)$

$$P|K^{0}, \overrightarrow{p}\rangle = -|K^{0}, \overrightarrow{p}\rangle \quad and \quad P|\overline{K}^{0}, \overrightarrow{p}\rangle = -|\overline{K}^{0}, \overrightarrow{p}\rangle$$

$$(95)$$

and the CP transformation is

$$CP|K^{0}, \dot{\vec{p}}\rangle = |\vec{K}^{0}, \vec{p}\rangle \quad and \quad CP|\vec{K}^{0}, \dot{\vec{p}}\rangle = |K^{0}, \dot{\vec{p}}\rangle$$
 (96)

While K^0 and \overline{K}^0 are not CP eigenstates, these two combinations are:

$$|K_{1}^{0}, \overrightarrow{p}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{|K^{0}, \overrightarrow{p}\rangle + |\overline{K}^{0}, \overrightarrow{p}\rangle\}$$
(97)

$$|K_{2}^{0}, \overrightarrow{p}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{|K^{0}, \overrightarrow{p}\rangle - |\overline{K}^{0}, \overrightarrow{p}\rangle\}$$
(98)

with eigenvaues 1 and -1, such that:

$$CP|K_1^0, \overrightarrow{p}\rangle = |K_1^0, \overrightarrow{p}\rangle$$
 and $CP|K_2^0, \overrightarrow{p}\rangle = -|K_2^0, \overrightarrow{p}\rangle$

★ Experimentally observed are two types of neutral kaons: K_S^0 ("S" for "short", lifetime $\tau = 0.9 \times 10^{-10} s$) and K_L^0 ("long", $\tau = 5 \times 10^{-8} s$).
(◎ K_S^0 is identified with K_I^0 CP-eigenstate, and K_I^0 – with K_2^0




Figure 83: Decays of K-short and K-long

♦ If CP-invariance holds for neutral kaons, K_S^0 should decay only to states with CP=1, and K_L^0 – to states with CP=-1:

$$K_S^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-, \qquad K_S^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0$$
 (99)

- Parity of a two-pion state is $P = P_{\pi}^2 (-1)^L = 1$ (kaon has spin-0)

- C-parity of $\pi^0 \pi^0$ state is $C = (C_{\pi^0})^2 = 1$, and of a $\pi^+ \pi^-$ state: $C = (-1)^L = 1$, \Rightarrow for final states in (99) CP=1

Figure 84: Angular momenta in a 3-pion system

- Parity of a 3-pion state is $P = P_{\pi}^{3}(-1)^{L_{12}+L_{3}} = -1$

- C-parity of $\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$ is $C = (C_{\pi^0})^3 = 1$, and of the state $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$: $C = C_{\pi^0} (-1)^{L_{12}} = (-1)^{L_{12}} L_{12}$ can be defined experimentally: $L_{12}=0 \Rightarrow$ for final states in (100) CP=-1

However, the CP-violating decay

$$K_L^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^- \tag{101}$$

was observed in 1964, with a branching ratio $B \approx 10^{-3}$ (Cronin & Fitch)

(a) In general, physical states K_S^0 and K_L^0 don't have to correspond to CP-eigenstates K_I^0 and K_2^0 : K_S^0 has admixture of K_2^0 and K_L^0 – of K_I^0 .

Othere can be different mechanisms for CP-violation, esp. in B⁰-B⁰ systems; dedicated experiments (BaBar, Belle) are observing this

CP violation in B systems is even larger than for kaons!




Figure 85: Today's B-physics experiments: BaBar and Belle

Flavor oscillation

Kaons created at t=0 can be represented as:

$$K^{0}(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{ K^{0}_{S}(0) + K^{0}_{L}(0) \} \qquad \overline{K}^{0}(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{ K^{0}_{S}(0) - K^{0}_{L}(0) \}$$
(102)

With time, the "mixture" changes as:

$$K^{0}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(e^{-iE_{S}t} e^{-\Gamma_{S}t/2} K^{0}_{S}(0) + e^{-iE_{L}t} e^{-\Gamma_{L}t/2} K^{0}_{L}(0) \right)$$
(103)

$$\overline{K}^{0}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(e^{-iE_{S}t} e^{-\Gamma_{S}t/2} K_{S}^{0}(0) - e^{-iE_{L}t} e^{-\Gamma_{L}t/2} K_{L}^{0}(0) \right)$$
(104)

If the time is measured in the restframe of the kaons, then E_S=m_S and E_L=m_L are the masses of the K_S and K_L and Γ_S and Γ_L are the decay rates with Γ=1/τ as usual

This gives:

$$K^{\theta}(t) = A(t)K^{\theta}(\theta) + B(t)\overline{K}^{\theta}(\theta)$$
(105)

Strangeness oscillation: a K^0 beam partly turns into a \overline{K}^0 beam




Figure 86: Strangeness oscillation in neutral kaons

The same effect is readily observed in B mesons (even faster oscillations)