## Beyond the standard model

- Why do we think there is something beyond?
  - Cosmological known issues
  - Theoretical known issues

### Cosmology

COSMOLOGY MARCHES ON



#### The Big Bang



# The first problem

• The gravitational force is:

$$F_g = -G \frac{m_1 m_2}{r^2}$$

- Where G=6.67384 × 10<sup>-11</sup> m<sup>3</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-2</sup> is the gravitational constant
- What are natural scales: mass and length for gravity?

## The first problem

- The Universe is governed by general relativity
- There is no quantum theory of gravity!
- The scale where quantum gravity becomes important is the Planck scale

$$m_{planck} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{G}} \approx 10^{19} GeV$$

$$l_{planck} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar G}{c^3}} \approx 10^{-20} \, fm$$

# We expect a new unified theory to become important at this scale



- Problem: planck scale is so small/large that it cannot be probed experimentally yet
- Theoretical solutions exist: string theory!
- Next slides mainly taken from Eliezer Rabinovici's talk in the Nobel symposium on LHC results 2013

Shortly Before arriving in Stockholm, Klein received a letter from his good friend Pauli which reads in part:



## Kaluza–Klein theory

(http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kaluza-Klein\_theory)

- In physics, Kaluza–Klein theory (KK theory) is a model that seeks to unify the two fundamental forces of gravitation and electromagnetism.
- The theory was first published in 1921. It was proposed by the mathematician Theodor Kaluza who extended general relativity to a five-dimensional spacetime.
- In 1926, Oskar Klein (Swedish theoretical physicist) proposed that the fourth spatial dimension is curled up in a circle of a very small radius, so that a particle moving a short distance along that axis would return to where it began.

## Compact extra dimensions Basis of string theory



- The extra dimension(s) gives rise to new physics
- Original idea:
  "geometric"
  description of
  electromagnetism
- Modern: excitations of the compact dimension

# Particle scattering vs string scattering

(a)



#### Bohr correspondence principle

Open string



 $\int -\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr} F_{\mu\nu}^2 d^4 x$ 

(Quantum Field Theory)

#### Bohr correspondence principle



#### Worldline vs Worldsheet



#### String Theory: number of dimensions

- What must be the "dimension" becomes a scientific question : Central charge=26,15 sometimes means dimensions= 26 or =10 (condensed matter systems classified) N.K.K was already there but the number of dimensions are obtained bottom up by the known interactions
- Important that this is a mathematical requirement. The theory only works mathematically if Ndimensions is e.g. 26

# Leaving string theory

• The problem with string theory is that in addition to being extremely difficult from a mathematical point of view it has not lead to testable predictions

#### The Big Bang



# What is the evidence for the big bang?

# What is the evidence for the big bang?

- All galaxies seems to be moving away from us (redshift) = Hubble law
- The abundances of hydrogen and helium in the Universe is close to what one would expect from statistical thermal production (Nucleosynthesis)
- The cosmic microwave background (T~2.7 K)

## **Cosmic Microwave Background**



- Nine Year Microwave Sky
- The detailed, all-sky picture of the infant universe created from nine years of WMAP data. The image reveals 13.77 billion year old temperature fluctuations (shown as color differences) that correspond to the seeds that grew to become the galaxies. The signal from our galaxy was subtracted using the multi-frequency data. This image shows a temperature range of ± 200 microKelvin.
- Credit: NASA / WMAP Science Team

#### With astronomy we can go back to the CMB <sup>15 thousand million years</sup> **The Big Bang** ~370,000 years after BB

1 thousand million years



#### **The Big Bang** I thousand million years We need particle physics to go beyond the light horizon!

10<sup>-10</sup> seconds



10<sup>-43</sup> seconds

\*

radiation particles

quark

anti-quark

electron

Z

.

heavy particles carrying

the weak force

10 32 degrees

ē

H D

tie Li proton

neutron

meson

helium

inhium

hydrogen

deuterium

10<sup>27</sup> degrees

positron (anti-electron)

10<sup>15</sup> degrees

10 1º degrees

1 second

10<sup>9</sup> degrees

6000 degrees

.

(ne)

So (He)

18 degrees

3 degrees K

MStoracingen

#### QGP – the phase of the universe 1 micro second tafter on years The Big Bang



PGP and hydrodynamic expansion initial state pre-equilibrium enti-quark Ne Milum

#### A particle physics view of the evolution of the Universe



C Addison-Wesley Longman

### How do we know that we do not live in a matter anti-matter symmetric Universe?





How could one test this?

# How do we know that we do not live in a matter anti-matter symmetric Universe?

- "When matter and antimatter meet, they annihilate each other and the mass is converted into energy--specifically, into gamma-rays. If a distant galaxy were made of antimatter, it would constantly be producing gamma-rays as it encountered the matter in the intergalactic gas clouds that exist throughout galaxy clusters.
- "We do not see any steady stream of gamma-rays coming from any source in the sky. Therefore, astronomers conclude that there are not occasional 'rogue' galaxies made of antimatter. If there is any large amount of antimatter in the universe, it must encompass at least an entire galaxy cluster, and probably a supercluster. Once might postulate the existence of such antimatter superclusters, but then one would be faced with the problem of coming up with a mechanism that, shortly after the big bang, would have separated these now-gigantic clumps of antimatter from the neighboring clumps of mater. No such mechanism has yet been envisioned."
- Taken from:

http://www.scientificamerican.com/article.cfm?id=how-do-we-know-that

### Matter anti-matter asymmetry

• For some reason which we do not understand there seems to have been a matter anti-matter asymmetry so that when the Universe hadromized after roughly 1 microsecond there was 1 extra baryon for each roughly 1 billion baryon and anti-baryon pair

### Sakharov criteria

- Sakharov conditions from http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Baryogenesis
- In 1967, Andrei Sakharov proposed a set of three necessary conditions that a baryongenerating interaction must satisfy to produce matter and antimatter at different rates.
- Baryon number B violation.
- C-symmetry and CP-symmetry violation.
- Interactions out of thermal equilibrium.
- Baryon number violation is obviously a necessary condition to produce an excess of baryons over anti-baryons. But C-symmetry violation is also needed so that the interactions which produce more baryons than anti-baryons will not be counterbalanced by interactions which produce more anti-baryons than baryons. CPsymmetry violation is similarly required because otherwise equal numbers of lefthanded baryons and right-handed anti-baryons would be produced, as well as equal numbers of left-handed anti-baryons and right-handed baryons. Finally, the interactions must be out of thermal equilibrium, since otherwise CPT symmetry would assure compensation between processes increasing and decreasing the baryon number.

### Dark matter

• "If this over-density is confirmed we would arrive at the astonishing conclusion that dark matter is present with a much greater density than luminous matter." Zwicky 1933

### Indirect detection

#### Galaxy rotation curves



L.B., Rep. Prog. Phys. 2000

# MOdified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND)

- These indirect rotation curves can be explained by alternative gravitational forces where the 1/radius<sup>2</sup> for some reason does not work everywhere
- An experiment to look for MOND will be shown at DESY

#### **Direct detection**

#### Colliding galaxy clusters



The bullet cluster, D. Clowe et al., 2006

8

# What do we know about dark matter?

- Non luminous = dark
- Interacts via gravitation
- Electric neutral
- Has very small cross section to interact with normal matter
  - Depending on the origin one can infer a weak like scale => Ideas like WIMP (Weakly Interacting Massive Particles)

## How much dark matter is there?



 From standard cosmological fits to astronomical measurements we know that there is roughly 5 times more dark matter than matter ever since the universe became transparent

# Does the Universe prefer dark matter and energy?



# Does the Universe prefer dark matter and energy?



- That is also a question about at what time you measure!
- Most normal matter annihilates with anti-matter producing eventually photons and neutrinos
- The relative density of relativistic matter (photons and in the early Universe neutrinos) decreases with time

## What about dark energy?



- The gravitational pull of both matter and dark matter decelerate the Universe
- The dark energy is "something" that makes the Universe accelerate
- Unclear even at theoretical level what this could be

## Big cosmological questions for particle physics

- Clear
  - What is dark matter?
  - Why is there an asymmetry between matter and antimatter?
- Unclear
  - How to unify general relativity and Quantum Field Theory?
  - What is dark energy?

## **Theoretical questions**

- Easy to formulate:
  - Why are the parameters of the SM like they are?
    - Charges, masses
  - Why are there only 3 spatial dimensions and 1 time dimensions?
  - Why are there 3 generations?
- Problem:
  - How to formulate testable theories?
  - Can the questions be answered by new physics theories or are they given? We do not know.

# Focus on 2 issues that seems very promising

- Higgs stability and mass
- Grand unification (tomorrow)

## Higss mass has 2 issues

- Stability of Higgs vacuum
- Why is the mass so small?

# Stability of Higgs vacuum

- From: arXiv:0906.0954v2
- Extrapolating the Standard Model to high scales using the renormalisation group, three possibilities arise, depending on the mass of the Higgs boson:
  - if the Higgs mass is large enough the Higgs self-coupling may blow up, entailing some new non-perturbative dynamics;
  - if the Higgs mass is small the effective potential of the Standard Model may reveal an instability;
  - or the Standard Model may survive all the way to the Planck scale for an intermediate range of Higgs masses.
- This latter case does not necessarily require stability at all times, but includes the possibility of a metastable vacuum which has not yet decayed.

### Stability of the Higgs vacuum (2009)



Figure 2: The scale  $\Lambda$  at which the two-loop RGEs drive the quartic SM Higgs coupling non-perturbative, and the scale  $\Lambda$  at which the RGEs create an instability in the electroweak vacuum ( $\lambda < 0$ ). The width of the bands indicates the errors induced by the uncertainties in  $m_t$  and  $\alpha_s$  (added quadratically). The perturbativity upper bound (sometimes referred to as 'triviality' bound) is given for  $\lambda = \pi$  (lower bold line [blue]) and  $\lambda = 2\pi$  (upper bold line [blue]). Their difference indicates the size of the theoretical uncertainty in this bound. The absolute vacuum stability bound is displayed by the light shaded [green] band, while the less restrictive finite-temperature and zero-temperature metastability bounds are medium [blue] and dark shaded [red], respectively. The theoretical uncertainties in these bounds have been ignored in the plot, but are shown in Fig. 3 (right panel). The grey hatched areas indicate the LEP [1] and Tevatron [2] exclusion domains.

# Stability of the Higgs vacuum (Today)



#### The vacuum is meta-stable

## Higgs mass corrections are large!



• One obtains for 1 loop:

$$m^2_{h_{ ext{SM}}} = \mu^2 + rac{3\Lambda^2}{32\pi^2 v^2} (2m^2_W + m^2_Z + m^2_{h_{ ext{SM}}} - 4m^2_t)$$

• So the corrections are larger than the Higgs mass but with opposite signs!?

#### Solution? Supersymmetry!

#### **SUPERSYMMETRY**



**Standard particles** 

#### **SUSY** particles

# The special things are the spins (Note also additional Higgses!)

FERMIONS				BOSONS		
spin	Name	Symbols	Name	Symbols	spin	
1/2	leptons	<b>e</b> , v <sub>eL</sub>	sleptons	$\tilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{L}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{R}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}_{eL}$	0	
		$\mu$ , $\nu_{\mu L}$		$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{_{L}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{_{R}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}_{_{\boldsymbol{\mu}L}}$		
		τ,ν <sub>τL</sub>		$\tilde{\tau}_L,\tilde{\tau}_R,\tilde{\nu}_{\tau L}$		
1⁄2	quarks	u,d	squarks	$\tilde{u}_L, \tilde{d}_L, \tilde{u}_R, \tilde{d}_R$	0	
		C,S		$\tilde{\boldsymbol{c}}_{_L}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{s}}_{_L}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{c}}_{_R}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{s}}_{_R}$		
		t,b		$\tilde{t}_L, \tilde{b}_L, \tilde{t}_R, \tilde{b}_R$		
1/2	gluinos	ĝ	gluons	g	1	
1/2	charginos	$ ilde{X}^{\pm}_{1}$ , $ ilde{X}^{\pm}_{2}$	EW bosons	$\gamma$ , $\boldsymbol{Z^{0}}$ , $\boldsymbol{W^{\pm}}$	1	
1/2	neutralinos	$\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_1^0, \tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_2^0, \tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_3^0, \tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_4^0$	higgs	$h^{\circ}, H^{\circ}, A^{\circ}, H^{\pm}$	0	
SM particles (observed) SM particles (no			(not yet observed)	Super Partners (not yet observed)		

### SUSY offers some explanation



- The corrections to the mass for the particle and SUSY partner has opposite signs → cancels!
- Similarly this stabilizes the Higgs vacuum

# SUSY can provide dark matter candidates

- The lightest neutral SUSY particle can be stable if there is a special conserved SUSY quantum number (typical taken to be R-parity)
- This SUSY particle will be stable and can be very heavy
- SUSY can provide a reasonable dark matter candidate

#### Problem: Not seen so far at LHC

ATLAS SUSY Searches\* - 95% CL Lower Limits (Status: March 26, 2013) MSUGRA/CMSSM : 0 lep + j's + E T mias Tev q = q mass MSUGRA/CMSSM : 1 lep + j's + E T miss 1.24 ev q = g mass ATLAS Pheno model : 0 lep + j's + E T.mias 1.18 T V g mass (m(g) Inclusive searches Pheno model : 0 lep + j's + E 1.23 TeV q mass 900 Gev C mass (m(z') Inclusive q g Gluino med.  $\tilde{\chi}^*$  ( $\tilde{g} \rightarrow q \bar{q} \chi^*$ ) : 1 lep + j's + E, GMSB (INLSP) : 2 lep (OS) + j's + E 1.24 ev g mass GMSB (T NLSP) : 1-2 T + j's + E 1. 0 TeV g mass OR T IN THE REAL PROPERTY. GGM (bino NLSP) : YY + ET,miss 1.07 Tev g mass (m(z)) -4.8 fb<sup>-1</sup> 7 TeV (1200 0753  $Ldt = (4.4 - 20.7) \text{ fb}^{-1}$ GGM (wino NLSP) :y + lep + E TeV IATLAS-CONF-2012-144 619 Gev g mass GGM (higgsino-bino NLSP) : y + b + E 900 GeV (m(x) > 220 GeV) s = 7.8 TeV GGM (higgsino NLSP) : Z + jets + E Tmiss 690 Gev g mass (m(H) > 200 GeV) 645 GeV F<sup>1/2</sup> scale Gravitino LSP : 'monoiet' + E  $(m(\tilde{G}) > 10)$ 1.24 ev g mass g→bby : 0 lep + 3 b-j's + E T mins 3rd gen via g rd gen gluino ediate g→tt<sub>2</sub><sup>n</sup>: 2 SS-lep + (0-3b-)j's + E<sub>T miss</sub> 900 GeV g mass (any  $\tilde{g} \rightarrow t t \tilde{\chi}^{0}$ : 0 lep + multi-j's +  $E_{T,max}$  $\tilde{g} \rightarrow t t \tilde{\chi}^{0}$ : 0 lep + 3 b-j's +  $E_{T}$ 1.00 TeV a mass (m(z 1.15 Te / g mass (mg bb. b.→by : 0 lep + 2-b-jets + E 7 TeV, all 2011 data aev b mass (m(g<sup>2</sup>) < 120 GeV) 3rd gen. squarks direct production bb, b,→t7 : 2 SS-lep + (0-3b-)j's + E 430 Gev b mass  $(m(\bar{\chi}) = 2 m(\bar{\chi}))$ tt (light), t→bχ\* : 1/2 lep (+ b-jet) + E rmins (m(z) = 55 GeV) 167 GeV t mass tt (medium), t→b7 : 1 lep + b-jet + E , mins 160-410 GeV t mass 4-20.7 m<sup>-1</sup> 8 TeV [ATLAS.CONF.2013.037]  $(m(\chi^2) = 0 \text{ GeV}, m(\chi^2) = 15$ tt (medium), t→bχ\* : 2 lep + E , mias L=13.0 fb", 8 TeV [ATLAS-CONF-2012-167] io-440 GeV t mass  $(m(\widetilde{t})) = 0$  GeV,  $m(\widetilde{t})$ t b direct tt (heavy), t→tz 1 lep + b-jet + E, 200-610 Gev t mass L=20.7 fb". 8 TeV [ATLAS-CONF-2013-037]  $(m(\bar{\chi}^2) = 0)$ tt (heavy), t→ty : 0 lep + 6(2b-)jets + E. L=20.5 fb<sup>-1</sup>, 8 TeV [ATLAS-CONF-2013-024] 320-660 Gev t mass  $(m(\overline{\gamma}^{\circ}) = 0)$ ff (natural GMSB) : Z(→II) + b-jet + E 500 Gev t mass (1)(2°) > 150 GeV) -20 7 (b<sup>-1</sup> 8 TeV (AT) AS, CONF. 2013.025  $\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1 + Z : Z(\rightarrow II) + 1 \text{ lep } + b \text{-jet } + E$ 520 GeV t. mass (m(1) = m(y) + 180 GeV)-20.7 th 8 TeV (ATLAS-CONE-2013-025  $(m(\bar{\chi}^{\circ}) = 0)$ L=4.7 fb<sup>-1</sup>, 7 TeV [1208.2884] 85-195 Gev | mass  $\overline{\chi}^{+}_{\underline{\chi}}, \overline{\chi}^{+}_{\underline{\chi}} \rightarrow \overline{h}v(\overline{hv}) : 2 \text{ lep } + E$ χ̃\* mass  $(m(\bar{y}^{\circ}) = 10 \text{ GeV}, m(\tilde{l}, \bar{y}) = \frac{1}{2}(m(\bar{y}))$ L=4.7 fb<sup>-1</sup>, 7 TeV [1208.2884] 110-340 GeV EW  $\tilde{\chi}l$  direct  $\chi \chi, \chi \rightarrow \tau v (\tau \bar{v}) : 2\tau + E$ L=20.7 fb1, 8 TeV [ATLAS-CONF-2013-028] 180-330 GeV mass  $(m(\bar{\chi}^{2}) < 10 \text{ GeV}, m(\bar{\tau}, \bar{\nu}) = \frac{1}{2} (m)_{2}^{2}$ Y → [v] I(vv), Iv (I(vv): 3 lep + E ž mass 600 GeV  $(m(\overline{\chi}^*) = m(\overline{\chi}^*), m($ 20.7 fb<sup>-1</sup>, 8 TeV [ATLAS-CONF-2013-035 W<sup>(\*)</sup> v<sup>o</sup>Z<sup>(\*)</sup> v<sup>o</sup> : 3 lep + E 315 Gev 7 mass 20.7 fb", 8 TeV [ATLAS-CONF-2013-035]  $(m(\overline{\chi}^*) = p(\overline{\chi}^*), m(\overline{\chi}^*) = 0$ , sleptons dec 220 Gev X mass Direct y pair prod. (AMSB) : long-lived y -4.7 fb<sup>-1</sup>, 7 TeV [1210.2852]  $(1 < \tau(\chi^*) < 10 \text{ ns})$ Stable g. R-hadrons : low B, By evil-Bric 4.7 fb 7 TeV [1211.1597 985 Gev g mass Longlived GMSB, stable T : low B 300 GeV T mass 4.7 fb 7 TeV (1211,159)  $(5 < \tan \theta < 2)$ GMSB, 20 → YG : non-pointing photons 230 Gev X mass -4.7 fb<sup>-1</sup>  $(0.4 < \tau(\overline{z}^{\circ}) < 2 n$ (E.2013-016  $\overline{\chi}^{0} \rightarrow qq\mu (RPV)^{\dagger}: \mu + heavy displaced vertex$ 700 Gev q mass (1 mm < ct < 1 m, g c -4.4 fb LEV :  $pp \rightarrow y_+ + X, y_- \rightarrow e + u$  resonance 1.61 Tev v mass -----LFV : pp $\rightarrow \overline{v}_{,+}X, \overline{v}_{,-}\rightarrow e(\mu)+\tau$  resonance 1.10 Tel v. mass (λ' =0.10, Bilinear RPV CMSSM : 1 lep + 7 j's + E Timas 4.7 (5<sup>1</sup> 7 TeV (ATLAS-CONF-2012-14 1.2 TIV q = g mass (ct RPV **RPV** χ, niass  $\chi, \chi, \chi \rightarrow W\chi, \chi \rightarrow eev_{\mu}, e\mu v_{\mu}: 4 lep + E_{T,miss}$ (m(2)) > 300/ 760 GeV 350 Gev X mass (m(x) > 80 GeV, 1 ... > 0) q̃ → qqq : 3-jet resonance pair sss Gev g mas -4.6 fb" 7 TeV (1210 481) g→ft, t→bs : 2 SS-lep + (0-3b-)i's + E 880 GeV g mass (any m(t)) 8 TeV (ATLAS-CONF-2013-007) (incl. 1 mit from 1110.2693) Scalar gluon : 2-jet resonance pair L=4.6 fb<sup>-1</sup>, 7 TeV [1210.4826] 100-287 GeV sgluon mass WIMP interaction (D5, Dirac x) : 'monojet' + E M\* sale (m, < 80 GeV, limit of < 687 GeV for D8) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 10-1 10 1

\* Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena shown. All limits quoted are observed minus 1 $\sigma$  theoretical signal cross section uncertainty. Mass scale [TeV]

# Problem: SUSY is only really beautiful when it is natural



 The cancellation only works easily (naturally) if the masses of the top and stop (SUSY top) is similar